

TO THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF SMYRNA, GEORGIA

CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE

COMES NOW, ADP-CONCORD ROAD, LLC, hereinafter referred to as the "Applicant" for Rezoning and asserts the following, to wit:

1.

By application to which this exhibit relates, the Applicant has applied for a rezoning of certain real property lying and being in the City of Smyrna, Cobb County, Georgia, a more particular description and delineation of the subject property, hereinafter referred to as the "Property", being set forth in said Application.

2.

The Application for Zoning of the property seeks a rezoning from the existing category of NS, as established by the governing authority of the City of Smyrna, Georgia to the zoning category of General Commercial ("GC") for the purpose of the new location for the Applicant's corporate headquarters.

3.

The current NS zoning classification of the property and all intervening classifications between same and GC are unconstitutional in that they deprive the Applicant under and pursuant to Article 1, Section I, Paragraphs I and II of the Georgia Constitution of 1983 and the Equal Protection and Due Process clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. This deprivation of property without due process violates constitutional prohibitions against the taking of private property without just compensation.

4.

The zoning classification of NS and all intervening classifications between same and GC as they presently exist violate the Applicant's rights to the unfettered use of the property in that the existing zoning classification does not bear a substantial relation to the public health, safety, morality or general welfare and is, therefore, confiscatory and void. Further, said classification is unconstitutional in that it is arbitrary and unreasonable, resulting in relatively little gain or benefit to the public, while inflicting serious injury and loss upon the Applicant.

5.

The City of Smyrna Zoning Ordinance is further unconstitutional in that the procedures contained therein pertaining to the public hearing held in connection with zoning applications also violates the aforementioned constitutional provisions in that said procedures contain the lack of procedural and evidentiary safeguards, do not restrict evidence received to the issues at hand, and are in some instances controlled by political considerations rather than the facts and considerations required by law.

Respectfully submitted, this the 13th day of November, 2020.

SAMS, LARKIN & HUFF, LLP

By: 

GARVIS L. SAMS, JR.
Attorney for Applicant
Ga. Bar No. 623950