



City of Smyrna

City of Smyrna
A. Max Bacon City Hall /
Council Chambers
2800 King Street
Smyrna, GA 30080
770-434-6600
www.smyrnacity.com

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 2019-392 **Version:** 2 **Name:** V19-056 - 2766 Bell Drive
Type: Variance Request **Status:** Held in Committee
File created: 10/18/2019 **In control:** License and Variance Board
On agenda: 11/13/2019 **Final action:** 11/13/2019
Title: Public Hearing - V19-056 - Reduce building front setback from 15 feet to 14.6 feet - Land Lot 809 - 2766 Bell Drive - Weekley Homes, LLC

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Issue Sheet, 2. Varmemo_V19-056.pdf, 3. Elevations_V19-054.pdf, 4. Application_V19-056.pdf, 5. Revised Site Plan_V19-056.PDF

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
11/13/2019	2	License and Variance Board	Approved with Condition(s)	Pass
10/23/2019	1	License and Variance Board	tabled	Pass

WARD: Ward 1

COMMITTEE: Community Development

\$ IMPACT: N/A

Public Hearing - V19-056 - Reduce building front setback from 15 feet to 14.6 feet - Land Lot 809 - 2766 Bell Drive - Weekley Homes, LLC

The applicant is requesting a variance to build a front porch on a new single-family townhome residence 6 Bell Drive. During rezoning in 2017 (zoning case Z17-016), the subject property was approved with conditions for front setbacks of 15 feet for the townhome building and 10 feet for the front porches. The plans were created and approved with foundations meeting the setback requirements listed in the zoning stipulations. However, when the townhome building was constructed, each of the townhomes within the building were over the required setbacks.

BACKGROUND: None.

RECOMMENDATION/REQUESTED ACTION: The applicant is requesting to deviate from the development standards established by zoning case Z17-016 for the front building setback of 15 feet. The applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the front building setback to 14.6 feet to construct a front porch on a new single-family townhome. According to Section 1403 of the Zoning Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following standards: (1) Whether there are unique and special or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) Whether any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict application of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the property; (4) Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed the request against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with the four (4) standards. Community Development has not received any calls in opposition to the request. After a review of the standards

Community Development believes that the encroachment will not adversely affect surrounding residents; therefore, staff recommends **approval** of the requested variance.