

City of Smyrna

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	2019	9-393	Version:	2	Name:	V19-057 - 2768 Bell Drive	
Туре:	Varia	Variance Request			Status:	Held in Committee	
File created:	10/1	8/2019			In control:	License and Variance Board	
On agenda:	11/1	3/2019			Final action:	11/13/2019	
Title:	Public Hearing - V19-057 - Reduce building front setback from 15 feet to 14.3 feet - Land Lot 809 - 2768 Bell Drive - Weekley Homes, LLC						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:	1. Issue Sheet, 2. Varmemo_V19-057-058.pdf, 3. Elevations_V19-055-056.pdf, 4. Application_V19 057-058.pdf, 5. Revised Site Plan_V19-057-058.PDF						
Date	Ver.	Action B	у Уу		Act	tion	Result
Date 11/13/2019	Ver. 2		y e and Varian	ce Bo			Result Pass
		License	•		ard Ap	tion	

<u>COMMITTEE</u>: Community Development

<u>\$ IMPACT</u>: N/A

Public Hearing - V19-057 - Reduce building front setback from 15 feet to 14.3 feet - Land Lot 809 - 2768 Bell Drive - Weekley Homes, LLC

The applicant is requesting a variance to build a front porch on a new single-family townhome residence 8 Bell Drive. During rezoning in 2017 (zoning case Z17-016), the subject property was approved with ons for front setbacks of 15 feet for the townhome building and 10 feet for the front porches. The plans were ted and approved with foundations meeting the setback requirements listed in the zoning stipulations. rer, when the townhome building was constructed, each of the townhomes within the building were over the ed setbacks.

BACKGROUND: None.

<u>MMENDATION/REQUESTED ACTION</u>: The applicant is requesting to deviate from the development rds established by zoning case Z17-016 for the front building setback of 15 feet and the front porch setback feet. The applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the front building setback to 14.3 feet and the front setback to 9.8 feet to construct a front porch on a new single-family residence. According to Section 1403 of ning Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following standards: (1) Whether there are unique becial or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) Whether any alleged hardship is self-d by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict application of the relevant provisions of de would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the property; and (4) Whether the variance proposed is nimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed the request against the variance review rds and found it to be in compliance with the four (4) standards. Community Development has not received

Ils in opposition to the request. After a review of the standards above, Community Development believes e encroachment will not adversely affect surrounding residents; therefore, staff recommends **approval** of the sted variance.