

## City of Smyrna

City of Smyrna
A.Max Bacon City Hall /
Council Chambers
2800 King Street
Smyrna, GA 30080
770-434-6600
www.smyrnacity.com

## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 2021-171 Version: 1 Name: 3212 Ridgecrest Road - V21-039

Type:Variance RequestStatus:PassedFile created:4/22/2021In control:City CouncilOn agenda:5/3/2021Final action:5/3/2021

**Title:** Public Hearing - V21-039 - Reduce the side setback from 10 feet to 3 feet for a carport and reduce the

driveway setback from 5 feet to 3 feet - Land Lot 452 - 3212 Ridgecrest Road - Maria Swanson

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Issue Sheet 2021-171 - 3212 Ridgecrest Road.pdf, 2. Varmemo21-039.pdf, 3. Application\_V21-

039.pdf, 4. Site Plan\_V2\_V21-039.pdf

DateVer.Action ByActionResult5/3/20211City CouncilApproved ItemPass

WARD / COUNCILMEMBER: Ward 3 / Travis Lindley

\$ IMPACT: N/A

<u>Public Hearing</u> - V21-039 - Reduce the side setback from 10 feet to 3 feet for a carport and reduce the driveway setback from 5 feet to 3 feet - Land Lot 452 - 3212 Ridgecrest Road - Maria Swanson

AND BACKGROUND: The applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the side setback from 10 feet to 3 3212 Ridgecrest Road to replace an existing nonconforming driveway and carport. The existing driveway arport are currently non-conforming at three feet from the property line. Section 801 requires a side setback R-15 zoning district of 10 feet and a driveway setback of 5 feet.

MMENDATION / REQUESTED ACTION: The applicant is requesting to deviate from the development rds established by the City for the R-15 zoning district, which requires a side setback of 10 feet. The ant is requesting to construct a carport in the side setback, approximately three feet from the side property according to Section 1403 of the Zoning Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following rds: (1) Whether there are unique and special or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) are any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict ation of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the property; (2) Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed quest against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with four (4) of the four (4) rds. After a review of the standards above, Community Development believes that placing the carport in the etback will not adversely affect surrounding residents; therefore, staff recommends approval of the sted variance with the following condition:

Approval of the subject property for the requested variance shall be conditioned upon the development of the property in substantial compliance with the site plan and elevations submitted with the variance application.