

City of Smyrna

City of Smyrna
A.Max Bacon City Hall /
Council Chambers
2800 King Street
Smyrna, GA 30080
770-434-6600
www.smyrnacity.com

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 2021-172 Version: 1 Name: 3766 Ashwood Drive - V21-040

Type:Variance RequestStatus:PassedFile created:4/22/2021In control:City CouncilOn agenda:5/3/2021Final action:5/3/2021

Title: Public Hearing - V21-040 - Reduce the rear setback from 35 feet to 25 feet for a building addition -

Land Lot 554 - 3766 Ashwood Drive - Stan & Laura Thompson

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Issue Sheet 2021-172 - 3766 Ashwood Drive Variance.pdf, 2. VarmemoV21-040.pdf, 3.

Application_V21-040.pdf, 4. Elevations_V21-040.pdf, 5. Site Plan_V21-040.pdf

DateVer.Action ByActionResult5/3/20211City CouncilApproved ItemPass

WARD / COUNCILMEMBER: Ward 6 / Tim Gould

\$ IMPACT: N/A

<u>Public Hearing</u> - V21-040 - Reduce the rear setback from 35 feet to 25 feet for a building addition - Land Lot 554 - 3766 Ashwood Drive - Stan & Laura Thompson

AND BACKGROUND: The applicant is requesting a variance to reduce the rear setback from 35 feet to 25 build a building addition with a 3-car garage and second floor storage area on an existing home with a nonming rear setback. Section 801 of the zoning ordinance requires a rear setback of 35 feet for lots zoned R-

MMENDATION / REQUESTED ACTION: The applicant is requesting to deviate from the development rds established by the City for the R-20 zoning district, which requires a minimum rear yard setback of 35 According to Section 1403 of the Zoning Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following rds: (1) Whether there are unique and special or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) er any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict ation of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the property; Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed quest against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with four (4) of the four (4) rds. After a review of the standards above, Community Development believes that the encroachment will not ely affect surrounding residents; therefore, staff recommends approval of the requested variances with the ng condition:

proval of the requested variance shall be conditioned upon the development of the property in estantial compliance with the site plan and elevations submitted with the variance application.