

## City of Smyrna

## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	2021	1-453	Version:	1	Name:	Variance Request - V21-105 - 2	2660 Parks Edge Dr
Туре:	Varia	ance Req	uest		Status:	Agenda Ready	
File created:	10/6	/2021			In control:	License and Variance Board	
On agenda:	10/1	3/2021			Final action:	10/13/2021	
Title:	Public Hearing - Variance Request - V21-105 - Allow rear setback reduction from 20 feet to 4.7 feet - Land Lot 448 - 0.14 acres - 2660 Parks Edge Drive - Alma C. Hall						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:	1. Varmemo_V21-105-106.pdf, 2. Variance Application_2660 Parks Edge Dr.pdf, 3. Site Plan_2660 Parks Edge Dr.pdf						
Date	Ver.	Action B	y		Act	ion	Result
10/13/2021	1	License	and Varian	ce Bo	ard App	proved	Pass
WARD / COUNCILMEMBER: Ward 3 / Councilmember Lindley							

## <u>\$ IMPACT</u>: N/A

**Public Hearing** - Variance Request - V21-105 - Allow rear setback reduction from 20 feet to 4.7 feet - Land Lot 448 - 0.14 acres - 2660 Parks Edge Drive - Alma C. Hall

## ISSUE AND BACKGROUND:

plicant is seeking a variance to allow a rear setback reduction from 20 feet to 4.7 feet, and to increase the impervious area from 45% to 65% to allow for the construction of a sunroom at the rear of the subject property. Section 801 sets imum building setbacks and maximum impervious surface area in the RDA zoning district.

**MMENDATION / REQUESTED ACTION**: The applicant is requesting to deviate from the development standards shed in Section 801 to reduce the rear setback and increase impervious coverage. According to Section 1403 of the Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following standards: (1) Whether there are unique and special or dinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) Whether any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having rest in the property; (3) Whether strict application of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of able use of the property; and (4) Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community pment has reviewed the request against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with four (4) four (4) standards. After a review of the standards above, Community Development believes that the increased ous coverage will not adversely affect surrounding residents; therefore, staff recommends **approval** of the requested e with the following conditions:

Approval is conditioned upon substantial compliance with the site plan submitted with the variance application.

The applicant shall submit a water quality plan subject to approval by the City Engineer.