



City of Smyrna

City of Smyrna
A.Max Bacon City Hall /
Council Chambers
2800 King Street
Smyrna, GA 30080
770-434-6600
www.smyrnacity.com

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 2021-455 **Version:** 1 **Name:** Variance Request - V21-106 - 2660 Parks Edge Dr
Type: Variance Request **Status:** Agenda Ready
File created: 10/6/2021 **In control:** License and Variance Board
On agenda: 10/13/2021 **Final action:** 10/13/2021
Title: Public Hearing - Variance Request - V21-106 - Allow impervious surface increase from 45% to 65% - Land Lot 448 - 0.14 acres - 2660 Parks Edge Drive - Alma C. Hall

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Varmemo_V21-105-106.pdf, 2. Variance Application_2660 Parks Edge Dr.pdf, 3. Site Plan_2660 Parks Edge Dr.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
10/13/2021	1	License and Variance Board	Approved	Pass

WARD / COUNCILMEMBER: Ward 3 / Councilmember Lindley

\$ IMPACT: N/A

Public Hearing - Variance Request - V21-106 - Allow impervious surface increase from 45% to 65% - Land Lot 448 - 0.14 acres - 2660 Parks Edge Drive - Alma C. Hall

ISSUE AND BACKGROUND:

Applicant is seeking a variance to allow a rear setback reduction from 20 feet to 4.7 feet, and to increase the impervious area from 45% to 65% to allow for the construction of a sunroom at the rear of the subject property. Section 801 sets minimum building setbacks and maximum impervious surface area in the RDA zoning district.

RECOMMENDATION / REQUESTED ACTION: The applicant is requesting to deviate from the development standards established in Section 801 to reduce the rear setback and increase impervious coverage. According to Section 1403 of the Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following standards: (1) Whether there are unique and special or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) Whether any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict application of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of a reasonable use of the property; and (4) Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed the request against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with four (4) of the four (4) standards. After a review of the standards above, Community Development believes that the increased impervious coverage will not adversely affect surrounding residents; therefore, staff recommends **approval** of the requested variance with the following conditions:

Approval is conditioned upon substantial compliance with the site plan submitted with the variance application.

The applicant shall submit a water quality plan subject to approval by the City Engineer.

